

## **Coordination Plan**

Layton Interchange EIS Project No. STP-15-7(212)324E

## **Purpose of the Coordination Plan**

The purpose of this Coordination Plan is to define the process by which the lead agencies identified below will coordinate and disseminate information about the Layton Interchange EIS project and how input from participating and other agencies and the public will be solicited and considered. The project schedule outlined in this Coordination Plan must be provided to all participating agencies, UDOT, and the project sponsor, and must be available to the public.

### **Project Background**

The project area is located in the cities of Layton and Kaysville, Utah from 200 North Interchange on I-15 in Kaysville on the south to the Layton Hills Mall Interchange on the north and from Fort Lane/Main Street on the east and 3200 West on the west. The transportation needs in this area of Layton have been under analysis for the past several years, including a draft Environmental Assessment (EA) that was prepared for this project approximately one year ago. Following the circulation of the EA (with its associated Public Hearing), the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) determined that there was justification for the preparation of an EIS. The proposed project will address current and projected traffic demand and operations (Level of Service D or better) for the existing South Layton Interchange (I-15 Exit 330), provide grade-separated access across the Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR), and provide adequate transportation facilities and traffic capacity west of I-15 to relieve existing and projected traffic congestion by providing Level of Service D or better on Gentile Street.

#### **Involved Parties**

List of Agencies, Roles, and Responsibilities

	List of Agencies, Roles, and Responsibilities			
Agency	Role	Responsibilities		
Federal Highway	Joint Lead Agency	Conducting the environmental and decision-making process		
Administration		Federal approval		
Utah Department	Joint Lead Agency	Conducting the environmental and decision-making process		
of Transportation		Drafting environmental document		
		Coordinating public involvement process		
Layton City	Joint Lead Agency	Conducting the environmental and decision-making process		
Utah Transit Authority	Participating Agency	<ul> <li>Participating in the NEPA process starting at the earliest possible time, especially with regard to the development of the purpose and need statement, the range of alternatives, the methodologies and the level of detail to which the alternatives should be developed</li> <li>Identifying, as early as practicable, any issues of concern regarding the project's potential environmental or socioeconomic impacts</li> <li>Providing meaningful and timely input on unresolved issues</li> <li>Participating in the scoping process</li> <li>Implementing commuter rail from Salt Lake City to Ogden, with a station planned to be constructed within the project area</li> </ul>		

Wasatch Front Regional Council	Participating Agency	<ul> <li>Participating in the NEPA process starting at the earliest possible time, especially with regard to the development of the purpose and need statement, the range of alternatives, the methodologies and the level of detail to which the alternatives should be developed</li> <li>Identifying, as early as practicable, any issues of concern regarding the project's potential environmental or socioeconomic impacts</li> <li>Providing meaningful and timely input on unresolved issues</li> <li>Participating in the scoping process</li> <li>Developing the Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) and Air Quality Conformity determinations for the project area, including traffic modeling</li> </ul>
State Historic Preservation Office	Invited to be a Participating Agency but has not accepted	<ul> <li>Concurrence of Section 106 determinations for cultural resources</li> <li>Participating in preparation of a Memorandum of Agreement to resolve adverse effects to historic properties, if needed</li> </ul>

**Agency Contact Information** 

Agency	Contact Person/Title	Phone	E-mail
Federal Highway Administration	Greg Punske	801-963-0078 x235	gregory.punske@fhwa.dot
Utah Department of Transportation	Charles Mace / Project Manger	801-510-1220	cmace@utah.gov
Layton City	Thomas Roylance / City Engineer	801-336-3800	troylance@laytoncity.org
Utah Transit Authority	Steve Meyer / Manger for Commuter Rail Engineering and Construction	801-287-2135	smeyer@utacog.ut.us
Wasatch Front Regional Council	Guilin Li (Andy)	801-363-4250	andyli@wfrc.org
State Historic Preservation Office	Cory Jensen / Architectural Historian	801-533-3561	coryjensen@utah.gov

## **Coordination Points and Responsibilities Information Requirements and Responsibilities**

Coordination Point	Information "In"	Responsible Agency(ies)	Information "Out"	Responsible Agency(ies)
	Draft NOI text for review.		Publish NOI in Federal Register.	FHWA
NOI Publication and Scoping	Prepare project description and location map and identify agencies with a potential interest in the project.	UDOT	Send out scoping letters to agencies.	UDOT
Development of Purpose and Need	Seek input from the public on Purpose and Need through correspondence; public, neighborhood, and individual meetings; flyers, newsletters, and other media releases; the e-mail database, website, and hotline; and the Community Sounding Board (CSB) according to the Public Involvement Plan. Seek	All Lead Agencies	Draft Chapter 1 – Purpose and Need; circulate to agencies and public for comment.	UDOT

	innut from local state and facility			
	input from local, state, and federal agencies with interest in the project or expertise through correspondence and meetings.			
Identification of the Range of Alternatives	Seek input from the public on Range of Alternatives through public, neighborhood, and individual meetings; flyers, newsletters, and other media releases; the e-mail database, the website, and hotline; and the Community Sounding Board (CSB) according to the Public Involvement Plan. Seek input from local, state, and federal agencies with interest in the project or expertise through correspondence and meetings.	All Lead Agencies	Draft Chapter 2 – Alternatives; circulate to agencies and public for comment.	UDOT
Collaboration on impact assessment methodologies	Disclose methodologies to be utilized for impact assessment to participating agencies and seek input through correspondence and meetings.	UDOT	Comment on methodologies to be used for impact assessment.	All Lead and Participating Agencies and agencies with jurisdiction or expertise
Socioeconomic and environmental impacts	Obtain information on existing environmental resources and analyze impact of alternatives.	UDOT	Chapters 3 and 4 – Affected Environment / Environmental Consequences; circulate to responsible agencies for review and comment.	UDOT
			Comment on disclosed anticipated impacts.	All Lead and Participating Agencies and agencies with jurisdiction or expertise
			Circulate DEIS to public and agencies for comment.	UDOT
Circulation of DEIS	Prepare DEIS.	UDOT	Comment on DEIS.	All Lead and Participating Agencies and agencies with jurisdiction or expertise
Identification of the Preferred Alternative	Provide environmental analysis of alternatives under consideration in a concise, understandable format.	UDOT	Identify Preferred Alternative.	All Lead Agencies
Circulation of FEIS	Prepare FEIS and address comments on DEIS.	UDOT	Circulate FEIS to public and agencies.	UDOT
Issue ROD	Prepare Draft ROD.	UDOT	Review and sign ROD.	FHWA
Issue Section 404 Permit	Prepare application for Section 404 permit, if applicable.	UDOT	Issue Section 404 Permit.	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

## **Project Schedule**

See Schedule attached in a gantt chart.

# **Revision History**

Changes to the Coordination Plan are listed below.

Mod. #	Date	Name	Description

